

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,179	09/19/2003	Albert Lewis	7044	1608	
	590 10/28/2004		EXAM	INER	
BONIARD I. 1 #113	BROWN	BOLDEN, ELIZABETH A			
1500 West Covi	ina Parkway		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
West Covina, C	CA 91790		1755		
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner Examiner Examiner Inst.			Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{\mathcal{O}}$					
Elizabeth A. Bolden			10/667,179	LEWIS, ALBERT	/					
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estimation of time may be assistant under the processions of 37 CFR 1.13(d). In no evert, however, may a right be timely filed THE protect for reply specified allows its less than thirty (30) days, and provided from the statutory minimum of thirty, (30) days, will be considered finely. If the protect for reply specified allows its less than thirty (30) days, and may be provided from the statutory provided with the statutory with the protect for reply specified allows its less than thirty (30) days, and may be provided to the statutory and the statutory provided with a statutory provided with the statutory provided with the statutory within the set or estimated from the statutory provided with the provided from the statutory provided with the provided from the statutory within the set or estimated from the statutory provided and the statutory within the set or estimated from the statutory within the set or estimated the statutory within the set or estimated the statutory within the set or estimated from the statutory within the set or estimated the statutory within the set or estimated the statutory within the statutory within the set of the statutory within th		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estatucios of time may be available used the provision of 37 GFR 1.136(i). In no event, however, may a reply be limely find after 51X (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the people of may be specified below of the promotion of 37 GFR 1.136(ii), in no event, however, may a reply be limely find after 51X (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the promotion of the statutory minimum of theiry (30) days will be considered timely. If the people of the provision is the provision of the statutory minimum of theiry (30) days will be considered timely. Failure to reply wittin the set or extended prends for reply will, by adultin, cause the discount of the provision of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Calified Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.				1755						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Following a time may be available under the proposition of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fled Following a common of the property of the property of the part of the property of	Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ss					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 July 2004. 2a	Failu Any Any Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	136(a). In no event, however, may a rep by within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH course the application to become APA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 15 from the mailing date of this comm	unication.					
This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	Status									
This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	ulv 2004							
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 3.9.10.12.24.26.30 and 31 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.2.4-8.11.13-23.25.27-29 and 32-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. virority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 3.9.10.12.24.26.30 and 31 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4-8.11.13-23.25.27-29 and 32-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Lachment(s)** Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	3)□	- Jes vine delicit to non mian.								
A) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 3.9.10.12.24.26.30 and 31 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4-8.11.13-23.25.27-29 and 32-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	31113 13					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)	Dispositi	on of Claims								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)										
Signature Signa		•								
Claim(s) 1.2.4-8.11,13-23,25,27-29 and 32-35 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Solid Claim(s) is/are objected to. Solid Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers Solid Claim(s) is/are is/are is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Triority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)										
Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
S Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			isiaic rejected.							
Populication Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Action** Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Parfisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			r election requirement							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Itachment(s) Notice of Parferences Cited (PTO-892)	•	•								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Action** Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)										
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date **Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date **Perent and Trademark Office** **Prent and Trademark Office**										
12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a	11)[] -	The earth or declaration is abjected to be the	on is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other:	11/	The bath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-1	52.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other:	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other:			priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date * See the attached detailed Office Other:	,		s have been received							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Paper No(s)/Mail Date Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Significant Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other:				lication No						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other:		3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been red	reived in this National Star	10					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Patent and Trademark Office **Patent and Trad				ocived in this Hational Stat	je					
Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Patent and Trademark Office Patent and Trademark Office Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	* S			eived:						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:			TE TEL MICE TOPIOG HOLIEC							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	Manahara - 1	-1								
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other: Other: Patent and Trademark Office Page No(s)/Mail Date Other: Other:										
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Patent and Trademark Office 1 236 (Page 4.94)			4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)						
Patent and Trademark Office) 💹 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SR/08)	5) L Notice of Inform		ı					
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Patent and Tra OL-326 (Re		ion Summarv	Part of Paner No /Mail Date 40	212004					

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: typographical errors.

On page 8, line 21 the term "Fe₂O+FeO" should be changed to read "Fe₂O₃+FeO".

Appropriate correction is required.

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

- 1) The literal support for the upper limit of the Al₂O₃ at 26.0 wt% in the table of ranges on page 8, line 16 and in the ranges recited in the abstract.
 - 2) The literal support for "essentially free of Na₂O and K₂O".
 - 3) The literal support for "essentially free of ZrO₂O".
- 4) The literal support for the upper limit of the Al_2O_3 at 26.0 wt% in the table of ranges on page 14, line 7.
- 5) The literal support for a limit of the Na_2O at 13.0 wt% in the table of ranges on page 14, line 10.
- 6) The literal support for the limit of the MgO at 0 and 0.81 wt% in the table of ranges on page 14, line 13.

7) The literal support for the compositions listed in claims 5, 6, 13-17, 22, 23, 25, 27-29, and 32-35.

These 7 issues of lack of literal support are also discussed below in the rejections of the claims under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 11, 13-23, 25, 27-29, and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1, line 5 recites " Al_2O_3 " as having an upper limit of 26.0 weight percent. The specification and the abstract as originally filed recite an upper range limit of Al_2O_3 25.91 wt%. See page 8, line 16 of the original specification and line 4 of the abstract. Therefore, an Al_2O_3 content of 26 wt % is not supported by the original specification. The specification and abstract should be amended to correct this deficiency.

Claim 2, lines 1-2 recites "the resulting composition is essentially free of Na₂O and K₂O". The specification provides no literal support for this limitation. The specification should be amended to correct this deficiency.

Claims 4, 7, and 11, lines 1-2 recites "the resulting composition is essentially free of ZrO₂". The specification provides no literal support for this limitation. The specification should be amended to correct this deficiency

Claim 21, line 5 recites "Al₂O₃" as having a limit of 26.0 weight percent. The specification as originally filed recites an upper range limit of Al₂O₃ 23 weight percent. See page 14, line 7 of the original specification. Therefore, an Al₂O₃ content of 26 wt % is not supported by the original specification. The specification should be amended to correct this deficiency.

Claim 28 falls to recite an amount of "MgO" resulting in the composition having a MgO content of 0.0 wt%, since the sum of the other components totals 100 wt%. The specification as originally filed recites lower range limits of MgO at 1.84, 2, or 1.80 weight percent. See page 8, line 20 and page 14, line 13 of the original specification and line 6 of the abstract. Therefore, an MgO content of 0.0 wt % is not supported by the original specification. The specification should be amended to correct this deficiency.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,179

Art Unit: 1755

Claim 34, line 8 recites "MgO" as having a limit of 0.81 weight percent. The specification as originally filed recites lower range limits of MgO 1.84, 2, or 1.80 weight percent. See page 8, line 20 and page 14, line 13 of the original specification and line 6 of the abstract. Therefore, an MgO content of 0.81 wt % is not supported by the original specification. The specification should be amended to correct this deficiency.

Claims 3, 5, 6, 8-10, 12-17, and 22-35 are what one might call picture claims, which are a specific defined composition, based on an example in the specification. See below Table. However, claims 5, 6, 13-17, 22, 23, 25, 27-29, and 32-35 do not correspond to any examples listed in the specification. While the claimed values are within the claimed ranges of the components, the specification provides no literal support for these limitations. The specification should be amended to correct this deficiency.

The table below shows what claims corresponds to which examples in the specification.

Claim #	3	5	6	8	9	10	12	13	14	15	16	17	22
Example #	1	N/A	N/A	4*	5	6	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Claim #	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
Example #	N/A	8	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	9**	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

 $^{^{\}circ}$ Claim 8 has no TiO₂, 0.33 wt% MnO, and 0.38 wt% P₂O₅, while Example 4 has 0.08 wt % TiO₂, 0.27 wt% MnO, and 0.32 wt% P₂O₅.

Claim 30 has 2.33 wt% Na₂O, while Example 4 has 2.53 wt% Na₂O.

Claims 18 –20 recite the limitation "resistant to heat and fire for a substantial period of at least three hours to prevent burn-through by the conversion of at least a portion of the fibers into

a fiber mat of ceram glass". Although this recitation is supported by the specification for a glass fiber, the specification fails to support such a recitation for a glass batch.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 18-20 depend from claims 13, 14, and 17 wherein the batch blend has a specific composition comprising TiO₂ in contents of 1.60, 0.72, and 1.37 weight percents, respectively. Claims 18-20 recite the limitation "wherein the batch is substantially free of TiO₂", which renders the claims indefinite since the batch blend must contain the specified amount of TiO₂ and therefore cannot be substantially free of TiO₂.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fyles et al., U.S. Patent 5,962,354.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,179

Art Unit: 1755

Fyles et al. discloses a glass fiber having overlapping ranges of components with instant claims 1, 2, 5, and 21. See Abstract of Fyles et al. and column 5, lines 44-46. The compositional ranges disclosed by the reference are sufficiently specific to anticipate the compositional limitations in claims 1, 2, 5, and 21. See MPEP 2131.03.

Claims 1, 2, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chvalovsky et al., U.S. Patent 3,854,986.

Chvalovsky et al. discloses a glass fiber having overlapping ranges of components with instant claims 1, 2, and 21. See column 2, line 60 to column 3, line 9. The compositional ranges disclosed by the reference are sufficiently specific to anticipate the compositional limitations in claims 1, 2, and 21. See MPEP 2131.03.

Claims 1, 2, 8, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Rapp et al., U.S. Patent 5,576,252.

Rapp et al. discloses a glass fiber having overlapping ranges of components with instant claims 1, 2, 8, and 21. See column 1, line 55 to column 2, line 5. The compositional ranges disclosed by the reference are sufficiently specific to anticipate the compositional limitations in claims 1, 2, 8, and 21. See MPEP 2131.03.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,179

Art Unit: 1755

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6, 13-20, 22, 23, 25, 27-29, and 32-35 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 4, 7, and 11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 18-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 3, 9, 10, 12, 24, 26, 30, and 31 are allowed.

Reason For Allowance

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art fails to disclose or suggest a glass according to the limitations of claims 3, 9, 10, 12, 24, 26, 30, and 31 where the components of the glass fall within the compositional limitations with particular attention to the CaO, SiO₂, Fe₂O₃, and Al₂O₃ content of the glass.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth A. Bolden whose telephone number is 571-272-1363. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am-7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark L. Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EAB 22 October 2004

> KARL GROUP PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP (75%